

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Verginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,349	04/06/2000	William C. Bornhorst	5282USA	8014
75	590 05/29/2003			
John A O'Too P O Box 1113	le Esq		EXAMINER	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55440		CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	16
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I Application to the state of t				
	Application No. Applicant(s) BORNHORST FOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	ARTHUR L. GRBIN 1761				
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by extended. 	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In graph of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent				
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disnosition of Claims	, 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11				
Claim(s) 17-41	is/are pending in the application.				
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
□ Claim(s)					
ACClaim(s) 1-15, 17-41	is/an minered				
□ Claim(s)	is/on chicated to				
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers	requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is □ approved □ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. 6 110 (a)_/dh				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	υ σο σ.σ.σ. g γ το (α)-(u).				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece	ived.				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recei					
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received				
in this national stage application from the International Bu					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)	-				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/544,349 Page 2
Art Unit: 1761

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 16, 2003 has been entered.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-15 and 17-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,008. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasoning set forth in paragraph no. 5, Paper No. 5.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/544,349

Art Unit: 1761

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 22, 25, 26, 28-31 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Robie et al (WO 99/41998).

Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 8, Paper No. 7.

- 7. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 22, 25, 26, 28-31, 38 and 41 are also rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Robie et al (6,291,008).

Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 9, Paper No. 7.

8. Claims 9, 15, 17-21, 23, 24, 27, 32-37, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Robie et al patent.

Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 10, Paper No. 7.

9. Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's comments regarding the amended version of 35 USC 102 are without merit since the amended version of 102(e) only is used if the applied reference, i.e., Robie et al (6,291,008) resulted from, or claimed the benefit of, an international

Application/Control Number: 09/544,349

Art Unit: 1761

application (MPEP, 706.02 (f) (i). As that is not the case with regard to 6,291,008, the amended version of 102(e) is not appropriate further, the amended version has no effect on 35 USC 102(a), under which the WO patents applied.

- 10. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 8 "mass or" should be cancelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 11. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is 703-308-

Application/Control Number: 09/544,349

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 10 am to 7:30 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

A. Corbin/mn May 28, 2003

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

5-18-03